The purpose of this article is to identify and describe the new materials and techniques deemed as viable alternatives to metallic post/cores, and to propose a rationale for the selection of one product or restorative technique protocol over others for simple and complex post-endodontic restorations. These are indicated where remaining coronal tooth structure is less than 50% and/or the core strength is compromised by the endodontic access opening.

BACKGROUND

Custom cast posts were first described well more than 100 years ago, and utilized the optimal impression techniques, casting, and cementation materials available at that time. In most of the world, cast posts (still taught in some dental schools) have been supplanted in clinical practice by prefabricated posts made either of metallic alloys or from fiber-reinforced composite. In even a cursory review of the literature, the evidence-based reinforced composite. In even a cursory review of the literature, the evidence-based

- Fiber posts, regardless of brand, are anisotropic and have a modulus of elasticity similar to that of dentin (~20 GPa), which allows the post to flex slightly (microscopically) with the tooth and dissipate stress, thereby reducing the likelihood of damage to the root.4

- Fiber posts are not susceptible to galvanic or corrosion activity; the latter of which is responsible for a high percentage of failures with cast posts5 which, in turn, fail twice as often (clinically) as do prefabricated metal posts.6

- Fiber posts are available in translucent and tooth-colored versions (the original black carbon posts are passé), which are aesthetically invisible under all ceramic crowns, veneers and resin restorations, and also mitigates the effects of the dark root syndrome (Figure 1).7,8

- Fiber posts (excepting a South American post design that has a metal wire running through its long axis) are more easily and safely removed “by hollowing them out from the inside,” should re-treatment ever become necessary.9-12

In fact, cemented metal posts may further limit or complicate endodontic treatment options if these become necessary.13

While all brands of fiber posts appear to have these commonalities, they are not all the same; they can vary considerably from brand to brand in terms of composition and microstructure. The difference in the manufacturing process of the posts can significantly influence their mechanical properties,14,15 and thus their clinical performance. Furthermore, a connection can be found between the data obtained with SEM observations of fiber posts and their clinical behavior. SEM photographs (Figures 2 to 4), taken at the same (700x) magnification, show the variations in size of fiber, orientation, number of fibers, amount of composite, and the relative percentages which varies from fiber post to fiber post. In fact, posts that have more imperfections in the matrix will have a less compact and even structure, and thus are weaker and less resistant to load stress.14

Increases in the mechanical properties (fracture strength) appear directly proportional to the density of fibers and to their interface/bond to the matrix.16 In addition to influencing flexural strength, the fiber type, density, and uniformity of microstructure also affect the radiopacity and fatigue resistance. Figure 5 shows the relative radiopacity of various fiber posts side by side, and Figures 6 to 8 demonstrate the same variation in an extracted tooth that is prepared for a 1.5 mm tapered fiber post. It is obvious that the Macro-Lock Illusion X-RO (CLINICIAN’S CHOICE) is the most radiopaque in this sampling of fiber posts (Figure 7).

Quartz fibers are among the most radiopaque fibers being used,17,18 and the quartz fiber posts have proven superior in fatigue resistance to glass fiber posts15 and to metal posts.19 Fatigue tests can be considered as the most relevant methodological standard for evaluating and predicting the behavior in an oral environment.18 The in vitro studies that more than any other permit the fair prediction of yielding and, therefore, the long-term behavior of the restoration, are the fatigue tests.20,21

Into the 1970s, it was hoped that metal posts could help reinforce weakened endodontically-treated teeth. In the 1980s, Soren- sen, et al surmised otherwise. Today there is a growing body of in vitro evidence that if properly placed, low modulus restorations continue...
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(quartz fiber posts with bonded composite cores) with varying amounts of remaining tooth structure can, in fact, provide some restrengthening of weakened teeth restored with MOD restorations, veneers or full coverage techniques.22-25 Figure 9 shows a high-power SEM of the adaptation possible with an appropriately sized bonded fiber post creating a “monoblock.” A ferrule of 2 mm has to be provided for the reconstruction of endodontically treated teeth by post and core techniques. (Studies show that increasing the length of a ferrule from 1 to 1.5 mm in a quartz fiber post does not significantly increase fracture loads, but an increase to 2 mm results in higher fracture thresholds.)

Now the clinical observation of carbon27-30 or glass and quartz fiber31-34 post restorations offer admirable performances at 7 to 11 years’ conclusion,35 and the difference in failure rates—particularly catastrophic failure rates—between fiber posts and cast posts is no less than compelling at 4 years’ service.36

The placement of a single fiber post in a relatively “round” and minimally tapered conservative root canal has been described in many articles and is now appearing in textbooks. There is evidence that (unlike metal predecessors) there is no difference in the performance between tapered and parallel fiber posts.37-38 However, it is self-evident to an experienced clinician that parallel posts may often require the removal of additional dentin and the creation of acute internal angles (“stress magnets”). Therefore, the tapered apical/parallel body shape is preferable if only for the sake of dentin conservation. Figures 10 and 11 show the same tooth as above, prepared for a tapered 1.5 mm fiber post. It is obvious from the radiographs that more tooth structure at the apical end of the canal would need to be sacrificed to allow the parallel 1.5 mm posts to seat to the same length, needlessly weakening the remaining root structure.

So, then, what is the contemporary technology protocol, when faced with a flared, oval, or figure 8 canal? Circular parallel post systems are only effective in the most apical portion of the post space, because the majority of prepared post spaces demonstrate considerable flare in the coronal half. Similarly, when the root canal is elliptical, a parallel-sided post will not be effective unless the canal is considerably enlarged, thereby needlessly removing extra dentin. From a clinical perspective, when assessing posts that have failed, many are in fact cemented or bonded to areas in the canal still occupied by gutta-percha. One of the causes for the lack of resultant retention is due to this oversight, which is a direct result of preparing a round canal space with a rotary instrument in a canal which is never round. There are 2 prefabricated posts available (in limited market areas) that are designed with a rounded, tapered apical extremity, and an oval coronal section (PeerlessPost [SybronEndo] and ELLIPSON [RTD]). These sizes exceed the diameters available in most brands, and are capable of fitting most root canal treatments without further instrumentation.

The authors will now describe and suggest an approach and technique for the inevitable variations presented by prepared and filled root canals which fall into 3 proposed treatment categories.

THE SIMPLE CANAL

In a “simple” case, where the canal treatment results in the typical tapered conservative shape (less than 25% larger than the fiber post [Figure 12]), a single fiber post can be inserted and covered with a composite core build-up in preparation for the prothetic restoration. The clinical protocol for this type of case is as follows: All procedures inside the root canal should focus on the bottom-up approach; the canal is prepared with the matching sized post drills and posts, and all remnants of gutta-percha must be removed from the walls of the post space to facilitate bonding. The fiber post is generally shortened to the height of the core with a diamond bur before the bonding procedure is started, but it can also be cut with a diamond bur after the core is cured. If using a self-curing resin cement, the post should always be cut to length first, so as not to vibrate the post while the cement may be setting. Fiber posts can be cut to length after the core is placed, but color changing posts are unique. A color changing post should be cut 1.5 mm short of the anticipated coronal extent of the core, and thus be buried in the core composite. This is done to prevent reappearance of the color under translucent ceramics due to exposure to intraoral temperature changes when the patient ingests cold beverages or food. The clinical presentation and treatment of a case that is typical for the simple canal is shown in Figures 13a to 13o. The tooth is isolated and

Figure 5. Radiograph of various shapes, designs, tapers of early radio-apparent fiber posts.

Figure 6. Radiograph of typical fiber post when prepared for a 1.5 mm taper.

Figure 7. The Macro-Lock Illusion X-RO (CLINICIAN’S CHOICE) shows excellent radiopacity at 1.5 mm post space preparation.

Figure 8. Same tooth radiographed with a popular radio-apparent fiber post.

Figure 9. Scanning electron microscope photograph of intimate adaptation of fiber post, dual cure resin cement and root dentin.

Figure 10. Parallel-sided fiber post of 1.5 mm does not seat in same tooth without more apical removal of dentin structurally weakening the tooth.

Figure 11. 1.5 mm parallel post with lateral serrations and core bulk again requires more apical dentin removal to seat to same length as the tapered Macro-Lock Illusion X-RO (compare to Figure 7).

Figure 12. A conservative nonflared canal is ideal for a conservative flared fiber post preparation.
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isolation, good access, vision, and technique. This is easy in the in vitro laboratory, but not always so easy in vivo.

In cases where access and/or visibility and/or good moisture control are compromised, some post manufacturers and clinicians/researchers report good results using self-adhesive, self-etching cements46–48 and resin-reinforced glass ionomer cements,49 particularly when using macroretentive quartz fiber posts (Macro-Lock). However, it should also be noted that some of the comparative in vitro laboratory studies (to dentin) show these newer generations of cements to be inferior to the “total-etch/moist-bonding” dual-cure cementation technique. Furthermore, a post inserted like this should also have high flexural strength (minimum 1,500 MPa) since it won’t have the mechanical reinforcement that the adhesive cementation provides.

Because larger, tapered, and even double-tapered fiber posts are now offered, and these are mechanically compatible with the remaining tooth structure, good adaptation of the post to the post space can routinely be achieved, with a minimum of cement thickness,40,41 thus minimizing the S-factor. It is the more flared spaces that are addressed now.

**THE ANATOMICAL POST AND CORE**

Polymerization shrinkage, and the stresses associated with that (the C-factor and S-factor), are a big consideration in all bonding/restorative procedures, and nowhere is the C-factor higher than it is in post cementation,41 because of the high number of involved surfaces and no unbounded surfaces. Even though composite resin core materials generally have more filler and, therefore, higher strength than resin cements, the polymerization shrinkage stress is higher with 70% filler than that with 10% filler.52 This may seem counterintuitive to most dentists, but the objective is to utilize a technique that compensates for the inherent deficiencies of some materials and, in fact, actually capitalizes on them without becoming clinically cumbersome, time consuming, or with the integration of outside laboratory fees.

In an earnest attempt to address these factors, Grande50 and Plotino51 have described chairside techniques for adapting prefabricated fiber posts to ribbon-like, oval, or ovoid canal spaces by remodeling; in essence, by whittling the post with a diamond bur to match an analog achieved through a separate procedure. The results suggest that the volume of cement is minimized, and the retentive surfaces of the post are not compromised. However, no information is offered regarding the effects that whittling a round (tapered or parallel) post brings to the other mechanical properties of the fiber post, such as a structural integrity.

In the mildly flared space (Figure 14), we can create a composite “core build-down” followed by the core build-up. In the flared canal with a coronal circumference 25% to 50% greater than that of the largest fiber post (by itself) available, the authors suggest the following protocol.

In this clinical case, the canal has...
a moderate flare with the above criteria. The tooth is isolated, and the canal is prepared as previously with a size appropriate drill (Figure 15a). After the canal is thoroughly cleaned, the fiber post is inserted and the fit verified (Figure 15b). A water soluble separating medium is applied to the post space (Figure 15c), a light-curable hybrid composite core material (such as Grandio [VOCO]) is adapted to the prebonded post (Figure 15d), which is then inserted into the root canal space (Figure 15e). The composite is light-cured through the light-conductive fiber post, and the post is removed from the canal (Figures 15f and g). When performing this technique, the clinician must look for undercuts before creating the “core build-down.” It won’t be possible to remove the post if cured in those undercuts, and the procedure will have to be repeated, possibly injuring the post. After verifying the position (Figure 15h) by marking the labial with a pencil, the canal is thoroughly rinsed and the build-down is rinsed to remove the water soluble separating medium. In the first clinical protocol, the canal is etched with a microbrush which is agitated in the canal (Figure 15i), rinsed from the bottom up, dried from the bottom up, and any excess water removed with a paper point.
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The light-cured bonding agent is applied and fully cured as in the previous protocol. The dual cure resin cement is placed in the canal (Figure 15j), the core build-down is inserted (Figure 15k), and thoroughly light-cured. After cementation, the dentin is refreshed with a diamond, the surface etched (Figure 15l), rinsed and bonded (Figure 15m); then, the core material is adapted and light-cured. The resultant free-handed core is shown in Figure 15n, which is modified with a tapered coarse round ended diamond (Figure 15o), and the final ceramic crown (IPS e.max [Ivoclar Vivadent]) over the custom-fabricated fiber post and core shown in Figure 15p.

This way, any shrinkage in the “build-down” is now in free space, not between the tooth and the restoration, neutralizing the S-factor effect. And it assures that the cement thickness will be minimal and uniform.² In most cases, the air-inhibited layer on the build-down can remain intact. If in doubt, the excess cement and remaining tooth structure can be refreshed before the bonding agent and core build-up composite is applied.

It is a direct-indirect technique, and has shown optimistic results.³⁵

THE POST WITH ACCESSORY POSTS

Now, in the case of a wide flare, where the coronal circumference is more than 50% greater than that of the largest fiber post available, or the practitioner is working with a ribbon, ovoid, or triangular canal, the suggested technique is as follows:

As can be seen from Figure 16a, the existing canal in which a cast post and core failed, is over-prepared and widely tapered at the coronal aspect. By following the previous methodology, the canal is prepared and the fit of the fiber post is assessed (Figure 16b). The large amount of resin cement will need to be minimized to decrease the shrinkage factor, and the cement and core material will need strengthening. The canal is etched, rinsed, and dried lightly; the compatible bonding agent is agitated into the canal (Figure 16c); and light-cured (Figure 16d). After direct injection of the dual cured resin cement (Figure 16e), the prebonded fiber post is inserted (Figure 16f), and prebonded Fibercones (RTD) are inserted (Figure 16g). Then, core composite is injected between and around the Fibercones and central “master” fiber post and hand sculpted prior to light-curing (Figure 16h). Lastly, the core is build-up is shaped, light-cured, and prepared to final shape with diamonds (Figures 16i).

Figure 17a shows the typical triangular shape encountered when restoring anterior teeth. Figure 17b shows a macro-lock post with an accessory Fibercone placed in the lingual slot area. The final clinical photograph is shown in Figure 17c. This we will call the (direct) accessory post technique, in which the “master” fiber post—size-selected for its fit at the apical end of the space—is accompanied by one or more slimmer, tapered accessory posts (eg, Fibercone [RTD]). The clinician may draw an immediate parallel to their training with gutta percha cones. RTD translucent quartz fiber posts (DT Light-Post and Macro-Lock Post) have been shown to have limited but relatively superior transmission of the polymerization light energy²⁶⁻²⁸ down into the post-restorative space, a property which is an important attribute and would necessarily disqualify...continued on page xx
the use of many of other (less conductive) fiber posts for this technique. In addition, the flexural and compressive strength of the factory-made composite (99.5% cross-linked) are higher than a composite hand-cured by light energy at chairside. In comparison, the cross-linked networks during polymerization and degree of conversion for most direct resin materials ranges from 45% to 70%. Published studies demonstrate the beneficial effects of this Accessory Post technique:

- Minimizes shrinkage in flared canals and, therefore, gap formation
- Reduces the need in drilling in order to adapt posts to root cavity, (minimizes dentin removal)
- Reduces the thickness of cement, and increased flexure resistance.

Fiber posts, associated with composite resin or with accessory fiber posts, seem to be more indicated as alternative to post cast and core in flared roots, because of the lower risk of catastrophic failures and better stress distribution.

It is possible to conclude that use of the fiber post, associated with accessory posts, is the method of choice for reinforcing structurally weakened roots, and provides an improvement in the load carrying ability of the restored root is validated, as opposed to the use of single inadequately fitting post.

SUMMARY

In contemporary dental practice, there is no remaining reason to use metallic posts, custom or prefabricated. Many cases that several years ago would have required a retentive post, will not require that post today, because of the many improvements in bonding agents and composite resin restoratives. However, in cases where less than 50% of coronal tooth structure remains—or in other cases wherein the judgment of the clinician a post is indicated—are there now aesthetic, non-core, fiber reinforced, fracture resistant and radiopaque alternatives for all variations that save time and money without compromising. Their most compelling advantage, regardless of the geometry or amount of residual tooth structure, is the protection from root fracture that a low modulus restoration provides.

In selecting the materials (posts, resins) for these techniques, the dentist is advised not to cut corners, and to seek the strongest and most radiopaque products available.
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